



## Antwerp Port Expansion NGICT Alternative design









- NGICT requested Solid Port Solutions to make an independent comparison of 3 terminal systems for the Port of Antwerp. The study should give the comparison results for Performance, Throughput Capacity, CAPEX and OPEX.
- The 2 existing modes of terminal operations in Antwerp; full Straddle Carrier and ASC are included in the study and compared with the NGICT system based on Over Head Bridge Crane technology.
- In the study an alternative design for the port expansion is used for the 3 different designs of the container terminal.







- Higher crane and berth productivity demands
- Greater peaks



### Volume peaks are the most serious issue





### **Unrealistic pressure for higher berth productivity?**









**EXISTING SITUATION 2018** 4-TIDAL DEEPSEA TERMINALS OUTSIDE THE LOCKS:

- 1. NOORDZEE TERMINAL
- 2. EUROPA TERMINAL
- 3. PSA
  - (EASTSIDE DEURGANCKDOK)
- 4. MPET (WESTSIDE DEURGANCKDOK)

**FUTURE SITUATION (2021):** 

5. PLANNED SAEFTINGEDOK SINCE 2005 AND STILL IN STAGE OF INVESTIGATIONS AND JUSTIAL PROCEDURES

















Alternative 9:

- 1468 m deepsea quay
- 300 m barge/feeder quay
- Demolition 300 m existing quay
- Around 2.5 M TEU additional capacity
- Big investment for only 1468 m additional deepsea quay









Alternative 10:

- Within the bounderies of alternative 9.
- Additional 3900 m deepsea quay
- Additional 900 m barge/feeder quay
- Demolition 300 m existing quay
- Around 8 9 M TEU additional deepsea capacity











- 1. Barge terminal
- 2. CER
- 3. 2x (1 over 2) shifter tracks
- 4. Truck lanes laden & lossen
- 5. 4 spoorbanen laden & lossen

















- Deepsea and TS via the same quay
- Quay capacity is limitation for Terminal capacity
- 2100 TEU/m x 2000 m = 4.2 M TEU capacity









- Deepsea and Transshipment via different quay
- Yard capacity is sufficient to maximize Quay capacity
- 2100 TEU/m x 4350 m = 9.1 M TEU capacity







- The NGICT alternative design can deliver:

- 3.900 meter additional deepsea quay length
- 900 meter additional Barge/Feeder Quay
- 8 9 Million TEU additional capacity
- Most efficient container terminal operations against lowest cost
- A handling system designed for high transshipment rates
- On dock rail facilities without additional horizontal transport
- Highest yard and terminal utilization rate
- Deepsea and shorstsea/barge operations on different quay







ASC	Automated Stacking Crane
AGV-L	Automated Guided Vehicle with lifting principle
ALV	Automated Lifting Vehicle / Autostrad 1 over 1
ATT	Automated Terminal Tractor
OHBC	Over Head Bridge Crane
QC	Quay Crane
RC	Rail Crane
HTS	Horizontal Transport System
RMG	Rail Mounted Gantry Crane





### Terminal 1 Yard systems compared





#### System A (Automated)

ASC 10-wide perpendicular with AGV-L and AutoTug to Rail (mixed traffic). Truck handled by ASC directly.

#### System B (Automated)

OHBC system with independent upper/lower crane and ALV for Waterside operation. Truck handled directly. Rail handled by integrated OHBC/Railcrane.

#### System C (Manual)

Full manual Straddle SC (1 over 3) for Waterside, Truck and Rail





# Detailed Assumptions Terminal 1 NGiCT

General	assumpt	ions		Termi	na	<b>1</b>				
								productivi	ty	
QC								35	cmph	35 m span
Maximum	working ho	urs yard c	ranes	s per year				5256	hour	
Railcrane	RMG							25	cmph	
Quay	2000	m								
# berths	5									
# QC	22							770	cmph	Waterside
# RC	2							50	cmph	Rail
<b>TEU-factor</b>			1.7							
Throughpu	it per berth	deepsea		700,00	T <mark>0(</mark>	ΓEU		411,765	containers	
Total throu	ıghput	deepsea		3,500,00	ד <mark>0(</mark>	ΓEU		2,058,824	containers	
Transshipn	nent		25%	875,00	T 00	ΓEU		514,706	containers	
Bargevolur	me		25%	656,25	50 T	ΓEU		386,029	containers	
Railvolume	9		15%	393,75	50 T	ΓEU		231,618	containers	
Truckvolur	ne		60%	1,575,00	00 T	ΓEU		926,471	containers	
Deepsea a	nd TS volum	ie		4,375,00	DO T	ΓEU		2,573,529	containers	
Total volur	ne quay			5,031,25	50 T	ΓEU		2,959,559	containers	
Gate openi	ing hours p/	′d		1	. <mark>4</mark> ł	٦r		weekdays	260	days p/y
Peakfactor	Gate		1.40							
Peak hour	trucks							356	cmph	
Mean Dwe	lltime		5	days						
Stacking he	eight yard		5	ASC/OHB	3C		3	SC 1 over 3	8	
Maximum	density		80%							
Peakfactor	yard		1.25							
TEU visits p	per slot	4	6.72	yard						
Total requi	red TEU slo	74	,914	TEU						
Width of ra	ail terminal		60	111	f	or Sy	stem	s A and B		





## **Example SYSTEM A**











Main Characteristics SYSTEM A



- ASC blocks perpendicular to the quay
- End loaded, 2 ASC's per block
- 10-wide, 5 high, 34 TEU long, block width 37 m
- Total number of ASC blocks: 52 (=1840 m)
- HTS quay: AGV-L full electric
- HTS rail: AutoTug with decoupled chassis at transfer points
- Avg ASC in/out handlings waterside: 16 cmph
- Avg ASC in/out handlings landside: 12 cmph
- Avg ASC productivity:
- Percentage housekeeping moves:
- Average AGV-L productivity
- Average AutoTug productivity

- 18 cmph
- 100%
- 9.0 cmph (incl. twin-carry)
- 6.0 cmph





Performance Terminal SYSTEM A NGICT

Yard De	sign A	ASC/AG	/L			
Stack	End loaded	ASC blocks	, 2 ASC's pe	er block	16	cmph
Block widt	h dimensior	۱			37	m
HTS Quay	AGV-Lift, b	ack reach o	peration Q	5	9	cmph
HTS Rail	AutoTug /	Chassis			6	cmph
HTS Truck	direct by LS	S ASC			12	cmph
Mean ASC	productivity	y (including	housekeepi	ing)	18	cmph
Percentage	e housekeep	oing moves			100%	
Maximum	# blocks				52	
# of ASC's					104	
Stack capa	city				88,400	TEU slots
Stack capa	city				4,372,992	TEU /yr
Landside handling capacity					624	cmph
Waterside handling capacity					832	cmph
Total ASC moves per year					8,235,294	p/y
Total handlings per ASC					79,186	p/y
# of AGV-L	IFT				86	
# of TT					8	
# of Railcra	ine				2	
length of ra	ail				310	m
total lengt	n of rail				32.2	km
width yard	area				1,924	m
Total yard	area				65	ha
Throughpu	it yard				66,880	TEU/ha/yr
width trucl	< road				40	m
Width qua	y area				115	m
Total term	inal depth				525	m
Total term	inal area				105	ha
Throughpu	it per ha				41,667	TEU/ha/yr





ve rIng

### **Example Terminal System B**



NGiC-T----



## **Example Terminal SYSTEM B**













## Example Terminal SYSTEM B













Main Characteristics SYSTEM B

OHBC blocks perpendicular to the quay End loaded for quay and rail 2 lanes in the yard for handling trucks 2 OHBC's per block, 1 upper and 1 lower, independent crossing 4-TEU wide, 5 high, 70 container long, block width 30 m Total number of OHBC blocks: 62 (=1860 m) ALV full electric, 1 over 1 HTS quay:  $\mathbf{k}$ 18 cmph Average in/out handlings waterside: Average in/out handlings landside: 16 cmph  $\mathbf{k}$ Average OHBC productivity: 20 cmph Percentage housekeeping moves: 40%  $\mathbf{k}$ Average ALV productivity quay 12 cmph (incl. twin-carry) Rail crane directly served by OHBC 20 cmph





Performance Terminal SYSTEM B NGICT

Yard Des	sign B	Dual OH	BC/ALV			
Stack	Dual OHBC				18	cmph
HTS Quay	ALV, 1 ove	12	cmph			
HTS Truck	direct by O	НВС			16	cmph
Mean OHB	C productiv	ity (includir	ng housekee	eping)	20	cmph
Percentage	e housekeep	oing moves			40%	
Block width	n dimensior	IS			30	m
# blocks					62	
# of OHBC'	S				124	
Stack capa	city				86,800	TEU slots
Stack capa	city				3,707,699	TEU /yr
Landside h	andling cap	992	cmph			
Waterside handling capacity					1,116	cmph
Total OHBC moves per year					5,764,706	p/y
Total hand	lings per OH	IBC			46,490	p/y
# of ALV, 1	over 1	Waterside			64	
# of specia	NGICT rail	cranes			2	
length of ra	ail				302	m
total length	n of rail				37.4	km
width yard	area				1,860.0	m
Total yard	area				48	ha
Throughpu	t yard				90,467	TEU/ha/yr
Service road perimeter fence					8	m
Width quay	/ area				100	m
Total termi	nal depth				410	m
Total termi	nal area				80	ha
Throughpu	t per ha				54,688	TEU/ha/yr





## **Example SYSTEM C**













Main Characteristics SYSTEM C NGICT

- SC blocks parallel to the quay
- Each block: 38 rows, 3 high, 20 TEU long,
- Block dimensions: 176 x 145 m, total width 11 x 176 = 1312 m
- Total number of SC blocks: 33
- Average SC productivity waterside: 10 cmph
- Average SC productivity truck:
- Average SC productivity rail:
- Percentage housekeeping moves:

10 cmph

8 cmph

20%





Yard De	sign C	Full SC ope	Full SC operations		
Stack	SC		10	cmph	
HTS Quay	SC		10	cmph	
HTS Rail	SC		8	cmph	
HTS Truck	SC		10	cmph	
Percentage	e housekeep	oing moves	20%		
Stack capa	city		82,764	TEU slots	
Stack capa	city		3,866,734	TEU/yr	
# of SC		Waterside	77		
# of SC		Rail	6		
# of SC		Truck	36		
Subtotal			119		
# of SC		Housekeeping	12		
Total SC			131		
Total yard	handlings		4,941,176	p/y	
Ttotal hand	dlings per SO	C	37,785	p/y	
# of railcra	ne		2		
Total width	n yard		1,936	m	
Total yard	area		92	ha	
Throughpu	it yard		47,675	TEU/ha/yr	
Width land	lside area tr	ucks	60	m	
Width quay	y area		85	m	
Total term	inal depth		679	m	
Total term	inal area		136	ha	
Throughpu	it per ha		32,216	TEU/ha/yr	















## **TEU per ha**









## Peak handling capacity per hour









Prices		Numbers		
	EURO	Α	В	С
ASC 10-wide	€ 2,700,000	104		
AGVL Elec	€ 600,000	86		
AutoTug Elec	€ 300,000	8		
ALV, 1 over 1 Elec	€ 1,000,000		64	
SC 1 over 3	€ 1,000,000			131
Railcrane	€ 3,500,000	2		2
Railcrane NGICT	€ 1,800,000		2	
OHBC, 30 m	€ 1,400,000		124	
KM Rail ASC	€ 1,000,000	31		
KM Rail OHBC	€ 4,500,000		37	
Civil Infra per ha	€ 1,000,000	102	80	136







	CAPEX	in M EURO			
	Α	В	С		
	281	0	0		
	51	0	0		
	3	0	0		
	0	64	0		
	0	0	131		
	7	0	7		
	0	4	0		
	0	174	0		
	31	0	0		
	0	169	0		
	102	80	136		
Total	475	490	274		









OPEX pe	er move &	& per hour			Number of container		handlings
		per container	cmph	per hr	Α	В	C
ASC		€ 1.33	18	€ 24.0	8,235,294		
AGVL		€ 2.67	9	€ 24.0	2,058,824		
AutoTug		€ 3.00	6	€ 18.0	231,618		
ALV with C	ОНВС	€ 2.50	12	€ 30.0		2,058,824	
SC		€ 8.00	10	€ 80.0			4,941,176
RC		€ 4.00	20	€ 80.0	231,618		231,618
<b>RC NGICT</b>		€ 2.00	20	€ 40.0		231,618	
ОНВС		€ 1.10	20	€ 22.0		5,764,706	
Landlease		€ 100,000.00	ha		102	80	136
Price per handling: labor + energy + tyres + M&R							







		OPEX per year in EUR x 1000			Total OPEX Cranes (excl QC) + HTS in						
		Α	В	С		EUR x1000					
ASC		10,980	0	0	60.000						
AGVL		5,490	0	0	50,000						
AutoTug		695	0	0							
ALV with C	ОНВС	0	5,147	0	40.000						
SC		0	0	39,529	30.000						
RC		926	0	926	20.000						
<b>RC NGICT</b>		0	463	0	40.000						
ОНВС		0	6,341	0	10.000						
Landlease		10,240	8,000	13,580	0						
	Total	28,332	19,951	54,036		Α		B		С	







25%	Transshipr	nent				
	KPI per yea	ar	ASC	ОНВС	SC	Required
	Deepsea v	olume	3,500,000	3,500,000	3,500,000	3,500,000
	TS volume		875,000	875,000	875,000	875,000
	Total volume		4,375,000	4,375,000	4,375,000	4,375,000
	<b>TEU slots</b>		88,400	86,800	82,764	74,914
	TEU/ha		42,725	54,688	32,216	
	TEU/ha yard only		69,538	85,069	47,675	
	TEU/ m quay		2,188	2,188	2,188	
	TEU/ QC		198,864	198,864	198,864	
	TEU/ yardo	crane	134,615	79,032	64,234	







- All systems can deliver the required performance if no limitation in terminal depth
- Lowest Capex: Full SC
- Lowest Opex: OHBC/ALV
- OHBC/ALV gives the highest TEU/ha ratio (best land utilization) 22 ha less with ASC and 56 ha less with SC This land can be used for additional logistic area
- Simulation is required to validate the result







- The OHBC system is capable to deliver a much higher performance at both water and landside compared with the other systems against lower operational cost.
- To utilize this additional capacity other factors play a role:
  - 1. Quay utilization factor (TBA assumption max 60%)
  - 2. Horizontal transport system performance
  - 3. Berth productivity per vessel (more QC's per vessel)
- We didn't calculate any additional capacity from the higher performance of the yard system and assumed the quay capacity to be the limitation in line with the outcome of the TBA report.
- Further optimization could result in a higher throughput on the quay exceeding the 2,100 TEU / m quay







- The OHBC system has the best performance for Terminal 1.
- OPEX cost could decrease significantly when automation will be introduced.





### **Terminal 2 yard systems compared**





#### System A (Automated) NOT POSSIBLE

ASC system cannot serve 2 quays within the available 650 m terminal depth .

#### System B (Automated)

OHBC system with independent upper/lower crane and ALV for Waterside operation. Truck handled directly. Rail handled by integrated OHBC/Railcrane. Wide Span cranes serving the barges and feeders

#### System C (Manual) NOT POSSIBLE

SC system cannot serve 2 quays within the available 650 m terminal depth .





# Detailed Assumptions Terminal 2

General assumption	าร		Termina	2			
					productivi	ty	
QC					35	cmph	
Railcrane					25	cmph	
Deepsea quay		2450	m	1	9		
Barge/Feeder quay		2300	m	1	4		
# deepsea berths		6					
# QC		29			1015	cmph	Waterside peak
# BFC		24			840	cmph	Waterside peak
total # of QC		53			1855	cmph	Total
# RC		2			50	cmph	Rail peak
TEU-factor		1.7					
Throughput per berth		deepsea	1,000,000	TEU	588,235	containers	
Total throughput		deepsea	6,000,000	TEU	3,529,412	containers	
Transshipment		60%	3,600,000	TEU	2,117,647	containers	
Bargevolume		25%	600,000	TEU	352,941	containers	
Railvolume		15%	360,000	TEU	211,765	containers	
Truckvolume		60%	1,440,000	TEU	847,059	containers	
Total volume DS + TS			9,600,000	TEU	5,647,059	containers	
Total volume quay			10,200,000	TEU	6,000,000	containers	
Gate opening hours p/d			14	hr	weekdays	260	days p/y
Peakfactor Gate		1.40					
Peak hour trucks					326	cmph	
Mean Dwelltime		5	days				
Stacking height yard		5	ОНВС				
Maximum density		80%					
Peakfactor yard		1.25					
TEU visits per slot		46.72	yard				
Total required TEU slots		128,425	TEU				





ok

### **Example Terminal System B**







## Example Terminal SYSTEM B













Main Characteristics SYSTEM B

OHBC blocks perpendicular to the quay End loaded for quay and rail 2 lanes in the yard for handling trucks 2 OHBC's per block, 1 upper and 1 lower, independent crossing 4-TEU wide, 5 high, container long, block width 30 m Total number of OHBC blocks: 78 (= 2340 m) ALV full electric, 1 over 1 HTS quay:  $\mathbf{k}$ 20 cmph Average in/out handlings waterside:  $\mathbf{\mathbf{A}}$ Average in/out handlings landside: 18 cmph  $\mathbf{k}$ Average OHBC productivity: 22 cmph Percentage housekeeping moves: 40%  $\mathbf{k}$ Average ALV productivity quay 12 cmph (incl. twin-carry) Rail crane directly served by OHBC 20 cmph





Performance Terminal 2 NGICT



Yard Des	sign	Dual OH	BC/ALV			
Stack	ack Dual OHBC				20	cmph
HTS Quay	ALV, 1 ove	12	cmph			
HTS Truck	direct by O	18	cmph			
Mean OHB	C productiv	ity (includir	ng housekee	eping)	22	cmph
Percentage	e housekeep	oing moves			40%	
Block width	n dimensior	IS			30	m
# blocks					78	
# of OHBC'	S				156	
Stack capa	city				140,400	TEU slots
Stack capa	city				6,559,488	TEU /yr
Landside h	andling cap	acity			1,404	cmph
Waterside	handling ca	pacity			1,560	cmph
Total hand	ling capacit	y			2,964	cmph
Total OHB	C moves per	r year			9,882,353	p/y
Total hand	lings per OH	IBC			63,348	p/y
# of ALV, 1	over 1	Waterside			85	
# of specia	I NGICT raile	cranes			2	
length of ra	ail				404	m
total length	n of rail				62.9	km
width yard	area				2,340.0	m
Total yard	area				85	ha
Throughpu	t yard				113,487	TEU/ha/yr
Width quay	y area				100	m
Total term	inal depth				504	m
Total term	inal area				123	ha
Throughpu	t per ha				77,823	TEU/ha/yr







Prices			Numbers		CAPEX in M euro
		euro	В		В
ALV, 1 over	1 Elec	€ 1,000,000	85		85
Railcrane NO	GICT	€ 1,800,000	2		4
OHBC, 30 m	l	€ 1,400,000	156		218
KM Rail OHE	BC	€ 4,500,000	63		283
Civil Infra per ha		€ 1,000,000	123		123
				Total	713







OPEX per mov	e & per hour			Numbers	Total OPEX in Euro x 1000		L000
	per container	cmph	per hr	В			В
ALV with OHBC	€ 2.33	12	€ 28.0	3,529,412	ALV with OHBC 8,235		8,235
RC NGICT	€ 2.00	20	€ 40.0	211,765	<b>RC NGICT</b>		424
ОНВС	€ 0.91	22	€ 20.0	9,882,353	ОНВС		8,984
Landlease	€ 100,000.00	ha		123	Landlease		12,336
Price per handling	: labor + energy + t	tyres + M	l&R			Total	29,979







60%	Transshipm	nent			
	KPI per yea	r	ОНВС	Required	
	Deepsea volume		6,000,000	6,000,000	
	TS volume		3,600,000	3,600,000	
	Total volume		9,600,000	9,600,000	
	TEU slots		140,400	128,425	
	TEU/ha		77,823		
	TEU/ha yard only		113,487		
	TEU/ m quay		2,021		
	TEU/ QC		181,132		
	TEU/ yardc	crane	107,692		









#### Phase A (2019 - 2020)

Construction Quaywall Open Doeldok West















#### Phase C 2023

-Opening T 1 phase 1 -Construction Quaywall Open Doeldok Oost / Noord















Phase E 2025

-Modification T2 berth 2









Phase F 2026

-Modification T2 berth 3









#### Phase G 2027

- Modification T2 berth 4









#### Phase H 2028

- Modification T2 berth 5
- Transfer volume MPET
- Deurganckdok oost phase 1

![](_page_55_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Phase I 2029

- Modification T2 berth 6
- Transfer volume MPET
- Deurganckdok oost phase 2

![](_page_56_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_3.jpeg)

Phase J 2030

- Construction expansion AWG

![](_page_57_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_3.jpeg)

Phase K 2031

- Completion
- Total capacity 18 M TEU

![](_page_58_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

R

60

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_3.jpeg)

www.solidportsolutions.com